IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 65 OF 2005

MR.RAVINDRA GAJANAN
GAUTHANKAR,BICHOLIM GOA ... Appellant

Versus

SHRI DAYANAND CHODANKAR AND ANR., ... Respondents

Mr. P. Wagle, Advocate for the Appellants.
Mr. J. Mulgaonkar, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.
Ms. Winnie Coutinho, Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.2.

Coram:- N. A. BRITTO, J.

Date:- 23rd July, 2007

P.C.:-

Learned Counsel on behalf of both the parties present. Respondent No.1/Accused is also present.

Terms of Compromise have been filed on 28-6-2007. It is stated on behalf of both the parties that the balance amount of Rs.10,000/- has now been paid, as per Clause 2(b) of the said consent terms. It is also stated that the penalty in default of Rs.400/- has also been paid. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties the offence shall stand compounded and the accused acquitted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Bail bonds shall stand cancelled.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.